**ISLO 2 Inquiry & Analysis:**

**Oregon Tech students will engage in a process of inquiry and analysis.**

**Definition**

**Inquiry and analysis consists of posing meaningful questions about situations and systems, gathering and evaluating relevant evidence, and articulating how that evidence justifies decisions and contributes to students’ understanding of how the world works.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PERFORMANCE CRITERIA**  | **High Proficiency** **(4)** **The work *meets listed requirements* for this criterion; little to no development needed.** | **Proficiency** **(3)** **The work *meets most requirements*; minor development would improve the work.** | **Some Proficiency** **(2)** **The work needs moderate development in *multiple requirements.*** | **Limited Proficiency** **(1)****The work does not meet this criterion: it needs substantial development in *most requirements.*** |
| **IDENTIFY** | Identifies a creative, focused, and manageable topic that addresses potentially significant yet previously less-explored aspects of the subject. | Identifies a focused and manageable topic that appropriately addresses relevant aspects of the subject. | Identifies a topic that, while manageable, is too narrowly focused and leaves out relevant aspects of the subject. | Identifies a topic that is too general and wide-ranging to be manageable. |
| **INVESTIGATE** | Clearly states, comprehensively describes, and synthesizes in-depth information from relevant high-quality sources representing various approaches and points of view. | States, comprehensively describes, and presents in-depth information from relevant high-quality sources representing various approaches and points of view. | Presents information from relevant sources representing a limited set of approaches or points of view, but descriptions leave some terms undefined or ambiguities unexplored. | Presents information from irrelevant sources representing a limited set of approaches or points of view, or states information without clarification or description. |
| **SUPPORT** | All elements of the methodology or theoretical framework are skillfully developed. (Appropriate methodology or theoretical frameworks may be synthesized from across disciplines.) | Critical elements of the methodology of theoretical framework are appropriately developed. However, more subtle elements are ignored. | Critical elements of the methodology of theoretical framework are missing, incorrectly developed, or unfocused. | Inquiry design demonstrates a misunderstanding of the methodology or theoretical framework. |
| **EVALUATE** | Organizes and synthesizes evidence to reveal insightful patterns, differences, or similarities related to subject focus. | Organizes evidence to reveal important patterns, differences, or similarities related to subject focus. | Organizes evidence, but the organization is not effective in revealing important patterns, differences, or similarities. | Lists evidence, the evidence presented is not organized or it is unrelated to the subject focus. |
| **CONCLUDE** | States an eloquently supported conclusion that is a logical extrapolation of the inquiry, reflecting the student's informed evaluation and ability to place substantial evidence and perspectives in priority order. | States a conclusion focused solely on the inquiry findings, arising specifically from and responding specifically to the inquiry findings. | States a general conclusion beyond the scope of the inquiry, the support for which is inadequate, or information was chosen to fit the conclusion. | States an ambiguous, illogical, or fallacious conclusion that is inconsistently tied to the inquiry findings. |