Gearing Up: New Title IX Regulations Highlights and Hurdles



Today's Conversation

• Setting the Table: The Title IX Timeline

- The New Regs Highlights
- Oregon Tech's Plan
- Hurdles & Hopes
- How Can I Help?
- Discussion/Q&A



In a Nutshell...

"No person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance."



The TIX Timeline

1975: Athletics regs issued. High schools & colleges are given 3 years to comply, (elementary schools 1 year).

1980: Dept of Educ. established & given oversight of Title IX compliance

1988: Civil Rights
Restoration Act reverses
Grove City decision;
restores institutionwide Title IX coverage,
including athletics

2011: Dept of Ed issues policy guidance ("2011 Dear Colleague Letter") clarifies Title IX protections against sexual harassment and sexual violence apply to all students, including athletes

May 8, 2020: Dept of Educ. Issues 2000+ pages of new regulations

June 23, 1972: TIX Pres. Nixon into law by Sponsored by

Sen. Birch Bayh & Rep. Edith Green

1984: Supreme Court limits Title IX scope in Grove City College v. Bell, ruling Title IX only applies in areas that receive direct federal funds, which removes athletics from equation

1994: Equity in
Athletics Disclosure
Act requires co-ed
institutions receiving
federal funds to
disclose financial and
participation
information about
intercollegiate athletic
programs

2017: Secretary Devos rescinded the Obama-era guidelines which had prodded institutions to more aggressively investigate sexual assault; said: new regs to come

Aug. 14, 2020: Compliance with new regs required



1976: NCAA

challenges the

legality of Title IX

New definition of sexual harassment, meaning conduct **on the basis of sex** that satisfies one or more of the following:

Sexual Assault (includes dating violence, domestic violence, stalking, re

Clery and VAWA); or

- Quid Pro Quo; or
- Hostile work/educational environment: unwelcome conduct determined by a reasonable person to be so severe and pervasive and objectively offensive that it effectively denies a person equal access to an education program or activity (i.e., hostile environment)





New First Response: A first response protocol now required. Specifically, if anyone reports sexual harassment through any method (including 24/7 webbased systems), the Title IX Coordinator or designee must:



- Promptly contact the complainant to discuss the availability of supportive measures
- Consider the complainant's wishes with respect to supportive measures
- Inform complainant of availability of supportive measures with or without filing a complaint
- Explain to the complainant the process for filing a formal complaint

Oregon Institute of Technology

New Supportive Measures Requirement:

- Supportive measures are designed to: restore or preserve access to the school's education program or activity without unreasonably burdening the other party, protect the safety of all parties and the school's educational environment, and deter sexual harassment.
- Such measures may include counseling, extensions of deadlines or other course-related adjustments, modifications of work or class schedules, campus escort services, mutual restrictions on contact between the parties, changes in work or housing locations, etc.
- Support Measures = non-disciplinary and non-punitive



Mandatory Reporters:

- Institutions only required to take action in response to allegations of sexual harassment of which they have actual notice
- Notice = the Title IX Coordinator or another official with authority to institute corrective measures
- Certain employees are required to report allegations of sexual harassment to the Title IX Coordinator
- Who? New regs leaves institutions with the flexibility to decide on their mandatory reporters





New Procedural Requirements (Part 1):

- Respondent = presumption of innocence
- Schools = burden of proof
- Pick 1 burden of proof and stick with it:
 - Clear and convincing standard <u>or</u>
 - Preponderance standard
- No single-investigator model (i.e., the investigator may not make a
 decision—recommendation, yes, decision, no—regarding responsibility
 without a hearing, instead a decision-maker separate from the Title IX
 Coordinator or investigator must make the decision of responsibility)





IMPORTANTI NOTTONI

New Procedural Requirements (Part 2):

- Written notice of allegations and an equal opportunity for parties and their advisors to review the evidence
- An investigation report with certain elements
- Live hearings in the higher education context
- Opportunity to test the credibility of parties and witnesses through crossexamination conducted by advisors (but not parties personally) at such hearings (which can be conducted either remotely or in person)
- Permit and provide advisors
- Equal opportunity for parties to appeal decisions
- Watch for COIs!



New Training Requirements

- Heavy emphasis on the importance of training. Schools must ensure that Title IX Coordinators, investigators, decision-makers, and any person who facilitates an informal resolution process, receive training
- Training materials must be maintained and posted on the institution's website for <u>seven</u> years after they were presented



Permissive Informal Resolution Options

- May offer informal resolution of formal complaints of sexual harassment
 - Respondent still gets written notice of allegations
 - Parties receive information about informal options, both must agree
 - Written consent to participate needed
- Note: schools cannot offer or facilitate an informal resolution process to resolve allegations that an employee sexually harassed a student, given power differentials inherent in such circumstances





Oregon Tech's Plan

Actions Completed

- Title IX Compliance Task Team formed
- Interim policies adopted:
 - Interim Sexual Misconduct Policy
 - Interim Prohibited Discrimination and Discriminatory Harassment
 - Interim Reporting Misconduct and Prohibited Retaliation
- Draft "companion policies" in the works
- Quick update to webpage: https://www.oit.edu/title-ix
- Campus Sexual Assault Bill of Rights
- Overview of Investigation Process
- Overview of Complaint Options





Oregon Tech's Plan

Actions "In the Works"

- Updated training: for student, employees, advisors, hearing officers, deputy TIX coordinators, RAs...
- Procedures for Students (c/f Student Code of Conduct)
- Procedures for employees and others
- Companion policies
- Templates for emails, notices, investigative reports
- New formal complaint form
- S.O.P. for TIX Complaints/Investigations
- Hearing Guidelines
- Expanded website
- Title IX Compliance plan





Title IX Hurdles

- Time
- Staffing
- Complexity of process
- Hearing requirements
- Training needs
- Policy deficiencies
- Conflicts with state law
- Overlap with Title VII
- Confusion & uncertainty about the future of the regs

Title IX Hopes

- Stronger policies, procedures, & templates
- Greater clarity & transparency – of process, expectations, & resources
- Better website
- More Deputy Title IX Coordinators
- Expanded training
- Increased awareness



How Can I Help?

- Good news: you don't need to be a Title IX expert!
- You do need to be able to "issue spot" What does this mean?
- If you are stumped with a question (as a leader, professor, or colleague), you can always punt: "I don't know the answer, but let me find out for you."
- Contact the Title IX Coordinator (me) with questions/concerns
- Be familiar with policies, reporting options,
 & the <u>Title IX website</u> (being updated soon!)
- Lead by example
- When in doubt, ask!







